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Introduction

We help project proponents effectively engage with public funding and approval processes. Our services range from developing frameworks for prioritising investments right through to preparing detailed business cases for individual projects.

We help project stakeholders leverage the strategic thinking, rigorous analysis and funding required for successful project delivery.
Expertise & Experience

- Investment prioritisation
- Project appraisals
- Business cases
- Program evaluation
Investment Prioritisation

Prioritisation frameworks

SGS has helped government agencies and non-government enterprises prioritise project development funding; essentially front ending business case development processes and ensuring that development resources are invested in the highest ranked projects.

We do this by working with portfolio managers to develop prioritisation criteria and scoring systems, usually based on:

- Strategic alignment
- Underlying need
- Financial impacts
- Socioeconomic impacts
- Project delivery cost and risk.

Our prioritisation frameworks combine insights from available data with the collective nous in our client and project teams to overcome information deficiencies.

Our frameworks enable an investment pipeline to be adequately planned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure NSW</td>
<td>Cultural Infrastructure investment framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Victoria</td>
<td>Cultural Infrastructure investment framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vic Department of Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>Public housing infrastructure investment framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of NSW</td>
<td>Infrastructure development opportunities plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Investment planning

We also develop detailed investment plans with infrastructure agencies responsible for managing a network of facilities that span across geographic regions.

This is a multifaceted process which requires:

- Forecasting demographic demands across the region over time
- Converting these forecasts to infrastructure and/or floorspace demands
- Aligning demand forecasts with existing/committed infrastructure supply capacities
- Identifying the scale and evolution of demand/supply gaps
- Assessing how discrete investments will address these gaps, and
- Developing an overall investment plan for the agency which includes the scope, scale and timing of specific investments across the regional network to optimise outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brisbane Catholic Education</td>
<td>Planning for new schools across Southeast Queensland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victorian Education</td>
<td>School investment sequencing across Melbourne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Services Victoria</td>
<td>VCAT accommodation plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Libraries</td>
<td>Library network investment plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner Melbourne Councils</td>
<td>Sports &amp; recreation investment plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland Education</td>
<td>Inner city school provisioning plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melbourne Catholic Education</td>
<td>School investment plan for Melbourne</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cultural infrastructure investment prioritisation

**CLIENT: INFRASTRUCTURE NSW**

As part of its update of the State Infrastructure Strategy for NSW, Infrastructure NSW was developing a strategy for future investment in cultural infrastructure. Amongst other things, INSW commissioned SGS to establish:

- Best practice in terms of the economic appraisal of cultural infrastructure investment and, in doing so, clearly scope the economic/welfare benefits that are generated
- An internal priority setting framework, enabling INSW to appropriately plan for its annual budget bids

SGS developed a multi-criteria prioritisation framework for internal use by INSW, as well as briefing material for project proponents on how to present evidence in response to the prioritisation criteria.

SGS also worked with INSW to prioritise competing cultural infrastructure investments, with prioritised investments featured in the NSW Cultural Infrastructure Strategy.

Regional active sport & recreation investment plan

**CLIENT: INNER MELBOURNE ACTION GROUP (IMAP) COUNCILS**

SGS prepared strategic advice for the planning, provision, development and operation of sporting and recreation assets and spaces within the inner region of Melbourne that respond to the evolving community, sporting and recreation demands of an increasing resident and local workforce population.

The project included a detailed assessment of supply and demand for sport and recreation facilities, a review of case studies and extensive engagement with council staff in the IMAP region. The analysis was used as a basis for developing a strategy for future provisioning of facilities across the IMAP region, including recommendations regarding how existing facilities might be improved to optimise participation.

The IMAP Regional Sporting Facility Strategy seeks to outline an ambitious and regionally focused vision and set of actions which the member councils can collectively work toward to address the challenge of increasing demand for sporting facilities in a well-established urban area.

Beyond a simple supply, demand and gap analysis used in traditional studies, this strategy outlines a range of innovative opportunities and solutions which will be critical to meeting the significant provisioning challenge.
Project Appraisal

Economic appraisal

SGS has developed economic appraisals (also known as cost benefit analysis) across a range of infrastructure, regulatory and service delivery proposals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Service delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads and rail transport</td>
<td>Land use change</td>
<td>Community transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism and events</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
<td>Public libraries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental assets</td>
<td>Dwelling regulation</td>
<td>Design review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education – schools, TAFE</td>
<td>Outdoor advertising</td>
<td>Urban planning assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and affordable housing</td>
<td>Property taxation incentives</td>
<td>Sporting programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation &amp; cultural facilities</td>
<td>Public housing stock transfer</td>
<td>Electronic planning systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public realm, parks &amp; gardens</td>
<td>Industrial incentives</td>
<td>Environmental financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban development/ renewal</td>
<td>Electronic gaming machines</td>
<td>Planning services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have a well-honed approach to performing economic appraisals, consistent with the guidelines mandated by state treasuries across Australia.

This approach:

- Differentiates the outcomes generated under each of the competing project options
- Identifies, describes and then monetises wherever possible the incremental costs and benefits generated by the project options
- Contrasts these monetised costs and benefits over the project’s lifecycle and then calculates performance measures using discounted cashflow techniques
- Accounts for the likely scale of non-monetised costs and benefits before making conclusions regarding the economic efficiency of each project option, and
- Accounts for the distribution of the costs and benefits among stakeholder groups before making conclusions regarding the social equity of each project option.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Queensland</td>
<td>Five new primary schools in SE Queensland growth areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of NSW</td>
<td>Randwick Innovation Precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW Environment &amp; Heritage</td>
<td>Aboriginal Cultural Heritage reforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts South Australia</td>
<td>Adelaide Contemporary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Projects Victoria</td>
<td>Melbourne Park precinct upgrades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Coast Council</td>
<td>Valuation of the Interurban Break (Non-urban land)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Botanic Gardens, Cranbourne</td>
<td>Establishing the Australian Garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VicRoads</td>
<td>Glasscocks Road upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vic Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>Social housing investment program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Socioeconomic impact assessment

SGS has developed scores of socioeconomic impact assessments which assess the direct and indirect economic and social impacts of project implementation on affected communities.

Our approach is to integrate quantitative and qualitative approaches; ensuring a balanced view of overall impact on each of the key stakeholder groups is developed.

The quantitative approaches used by SGS include computable general equilibrium (CGE) modelling and input output (IO) modelling.

Essentially SGS:

- Develops models of regional economies
- Identifies the project’s direct impacts on the regional economy
- Shocks the regional economic model with these direct impacts
- Traces the indirect impacts that are triggered by multiple rounds of buyer and supplier transactions within the regional economy, and
- Measures how these direct and indirect impacts accumulate in Gross Regional Product (value added) and regional income, employment, investment and export levels.

Our qualitative approaches identify the key stakeholder groups impacted, as well as the direction, degree, and duration of impacts.

We strive for a semi-quantitative assessment enabling the social impacts to the integrated with the economic impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Queensland</td>
<td>Five new primary schools in SE Queensland growth areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vic Level Crossing Removal Program</td>
<td>Station options for selected level crossing removals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Sydney</td>
<td>Eveleigh Innovation Precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Gallery of Victoria</td>
<td>National Gallery of Victoria Contemporary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser Coast Council</td>
<td>Hervey Bay Education Precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Projects Victoria</td>
<td>Melbourne Park sporting precinct upgrades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW Health Infrastructure</td>
<td>Relocation of regional public hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPC Victoria</td>
<td>Homes for Victorians Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHHS Victoria</td>
<td>Public housing estate renewal program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee for Geelong</td>
<td>Geelong Ring Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA Government</td>
<td>Tonsley Park redevelopment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA Government</td>
<td>Airport Food Park development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VicRoads</td>
<td>Glasscocks Road upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Libraries of Qld, Vic &amp; SA</td>
<td>Public library operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW Landcom</td>
<td>Redevelopment of Green Square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Land price impact modelling

SGS models how land prices across regions are estimated to change in response to strategic infrastructure provision and/or land use regulatory change.

These insights can inform land acquisition, infrastructure prioritisation, individual project appraisals and business case development, and infrastructure funding/capture strategies. Our hedonic price modelling considers the following variables:

- **Metropolitan connectivity**
  - Access to employment opportunities
  - Access to service opportunities (education, health, etc)

- **Local context**
  - Land use zoning/regulation
  - Accessibility of natural assets (beaches, parks, rivers, etc)
  - Local amenity (views, vegetation, topography, industrial land, etc)

- **Site potential**
  - Land parcel size and shape
  - Value of existing improvements.
Developing cost benefit metrics for school infrastructure

**CLIENT: NSW DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

SGS prepared a pilot cost benefit analysis of improving education infrastructure in NSW.

The pilot study assessed the incremental costs and benefits of the redevelopment of Parramatta Public School and Arthur Phillip High School into high rise, future focussed learning schools equipped to facilitate contemporary pedagogy.

The pilot study considered two different base cases, ensuring the likely value of the project was fully appreciated:

- Do nothing scenario – as the demand for public school places begins to exceed supply, some children will simply miss out on school.
- Do minimum scenario – the minimum standard of education is met by installing demountable schoolrooms at existing schools.

SGS undertook an extensive review of empirical research that examined both the impact of future focussed learning on students’ learning outcomes and the relationship between educational attainment and lifetime earnings. We then developed new metrics to value the benefits of young people attending schools, as well as the benefits students gained from learning in high-quality future focussed learning classrooms that facilitate 21st century pedagogy.

**Valuing the inter-urban break between Brisbane & the Sunshine Coast**

**CLIENT: NSW DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Southeast Queensland’s Inter-Urban Break is located in the northern region of Moreton Bay Regional Council and the southern region of the Sunshine Coast Council. It is the break between the urban development areas in these municipalities.

SGS was commissioned to place an economic value on the Inter-Urban Break using a total economic value framework. That is, SGS was asked to demonstrate how the Inter-Urban Break generates economic value given its existing array of uses, including those values that are not recognised in private land development equations.

SGS used available data sources to estimate each of the values generated by the Inter-Urban Break, recognising that ‘market prices’ do not exist for some of the values described. The values estimated include:

- Agriculture
- Tourism
- Recreation
- Environment
- Rural living, and
- Intrinsic values.
Local community benefits & economic impacts of public library services

CLIENT: PUBLIC LIBRARIES SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Public Libraries SA commissioned SGS to provide an independent analysis of the benefits of public libraries in South Australia; to inform the advocacy agenda in relation to funding, recognising the ongoing financial pressures being placed on public library operations.

SGS had completed similar work in Victoria and Queensland, and Public Libraries SA wished to replicate this work in South Australia so that the value of public libraries could be clearly and confidently advocated.

The objectives of this project were to estimate the contributions of South Australian public libraries by independently:

- Estimating the costs, benefits and net benefits (or community welfare gains) generated by South Australian public libraries, and
- Assessing the economic value added and employment generated by public library services in local economies across South Australia.

As part of this work, we surveyed the users of six SA libraries supporting a case study approach to different library categories. Library user use of, and importance placed on, different library services was established. Willingness to pay for library services and economic impacts generated were also established, in addition to an accurate profiling of library users.

Protecting Melbourne’s parks from overshadowing

CLIENT: CITY OF MELBOURNE

The City of Melbourne appointed SGS to prepare a cost benefit analysis of proposed new planning controls to limit additional shadowing of parks in certain parts of the municipality.

This included valuing the following benefits of the proposed planning controls:

- The value of avoided loss of sunshine in parks for users
- Retained visual amenity for neighbouring properties and passers-by
- The value of bio-diversity and ecological services supported by preserved sunshine in parks, and
- Avoided health costs caused by diminished visitation to parks associated with greater overshadowing.

As part of this work, we conducted a survey of inner Melbourne residents in two sample strata: those within 3 km of the Melbourne CBD; and those in higher population density postcodes within 10 km of the CBD.

The survey captured park use and valued city amenities using a choice modelling willingness-to-pay approach with particular focus on valuing the social benefit of protecting existing sunshine patterns.
SGS has developed numerous, successful business cases for federal and state government agencies using the treasury mandated guidelines.

We have also applied relevant aspects of these guidelines for local governments and private sector interests aiming to successfully engage with public sector decision making (e.g. the annual budget process, grants programs) around Australia.

Public sector business cases aim to promote the best investment outcomes for Australian communities, ensuring that public sector stakeholders:

— Address the right problems and pursue the right benefits
— Choose the best value for money investments
— Deliver investments as planned, and
— Realise the benefits the investments set out to achieve.

SGS has significant expertise at both the conceptualise (preliminary or strategic business case) and prove (full or detailed business case) stages, having developed the following cases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artspace</td>
<td>Redevelopment of the Gunnery, Woolloomooloo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create NSW</td>
<td>Redevelopment of the former Darlinghurst Gaol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport &amp; Recreation Victoria</td>
<td>Shooting Sports Facilities Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Centre for Moving Image</td>
<td>ACMI redevelopment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Redevelopment of Fishermans Bend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Melbourne</td>
<td>Queen Victoria Market Renewal Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney Theatre Company</td>
<td>Redevelopment of Walsh Bay facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museums Victoria</td>
<td>Redevelopment of Scienceworks precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport for NSW</td>
<td>Key roads in South West Growth Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport &amp; Recreation Victoria</td>
<td>Frankston Health, Wellness &amp; Aquatic Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create Victoria</td>
<td>Heidi Museum of Modern Art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Victoria</td>
<td>Establishing an arts precinct in Collingwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Tourist Railway Board</td>
<td>Reinvestment into Puffing Billy Tourist Railway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Community Facility Funding Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Expert Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Creating Better Places Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VicUrban</td>
<td>Harbour Esplanade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Development Victoria</td>
<td>Regional rail links in the High Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Restoration of heritage rail bridge, Orbost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the renewal of Fishermans Bend is appropriately approached, it can become an integral part of Melbourne’s CBD growth strategy, i.e. by becoming a high density, mixed use, connected, green place to live, work, learn and invest. However, the renewal of Fishermans Bend is not without its challenges. Most of the land is already zoned Capital City and 90% is in private ownership. For these and other reasons, a conventional approach to urban renewal would squander the unique opportunity presented.

SGS developed the preliminary business case to support Cabinet’s deliberations over the proposed Framework Plan and governance arrangements for renewing Fishermans Bend. A key deliverable that informed the business case was a triple bottom line assessment of the competing development options for Fishermans Bend. This assessment:

- Quantified the benefits of avoided infrastructure, reduced congestion, health savings, value added and labour force productivity lifts, and compared these to the
- Higher costs of transport, open space, community and other infrastructure needed to substantially lift the quantity and quality of development through the precinct.

Another key deliverable was a funding model which contrasted how the costs for transport, open space, community and other infrastructure could be funded by a suite of rates and charges (e.g. ICPs, DCPs, open space contributions, rates, land tax, and stamp duty).

The Queen Victoria Market has been in steady decline over the last 20 years. We worked with the City of Melbourne to review the existing Queen Victoria Market Precinct Renewal Program and to prepare its detailed business case.

We tested three options for addressing the Market’s challenges:

- Business as usual with no increased investment
- Limited intervention measures, and
- Council’s full-scale renewal program.

Following a rigorous strategic, financial, triple bottom line and risk assessment, we concluded that Council’s $250 million investment for a full-scale renewal program was the best way to secure the Market’s long-term viability. This option will address the key problems facing the precinct, as well as deliver a superior financial and community welfare return.

The full-scale renewal program seeks to transform Queen Victoria Market into a thriving and diverse marketplace where future generations will be able to support Melbourne’s local character and culture.
Program Evaluation

SGS has extensive experience in evaluating government funded programs in accordance with treasury evaluation guidelines and other best practice evaluation guidance materials.

These formal evaluations answer the following questions:

- What is the evidence of a continued need for the program and role for government in delivering it?
- What is the evidence of the program’s progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes, including alignment between the program, its output, departmental objectives and any stated government priorities?
- Has the program been delivered within its scope, budget, within the expected timeframe, and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices?
- Has the department demonstrated efficiency and economy in relation to the delivery of the program?
- What would be the impact of ceasing the program (e.g. service, jobs, community impacts) and what strategies have been identified to minimise negative impacts?
- If ongoing funding was provided, what level of efficiencies could be realised?

To answer these questions, a tailored methodology is always prepared to reflect the program’s context and its specific objectives. Often this calls for: logic mapping, literature reviews; extensive stakeholder engagement; case study development; data analysis and modelling; economic appraisal; and future need assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Premier and Cabinet, Victoria</td>
<td>Government Architect’s Design Review Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Human Services, Victoria</td>
<td>Country Football Netball Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Human Services, Victoria</td>
<td>State Sports Infrastructure Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Human Services, Victoria</td>
<td>Men’s Shed Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Environment, Victoria</td>
<td>Ballarat’s Water Future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Rural Flying Squad Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Community Facility Funding Program Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Expert Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Creating Better Places Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Mid-Term Review of Victoria’s Heritage Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Community Building Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Urban Renewal Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Housing, Queensland</td>
<td>Joint Inner City Homelessness Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Communities, Queensland</td>
<td>Government Reforms of Residential Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACSIA, Commonwealth</td>
<td>Aboriginal Housing &amp; Infrastructure Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACSIA, Commonwealth</td>
<td>Communities in Crisis Strategic Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VicUrban</td>
<td>Harbour Esplanade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Development Victoria</td>
<td>Regional rail links in the High Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Planning, Victoria</td>
<td>Restoration of heritage rail bridge, Orbost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The NSW Government released A Fair Go for First Home buyers: A $2.2 billion program to improve housing affordability in 2017. SGS was commissioned to develop a program logic and evaluation framework of the program to ensure program outcomes, process and economic impacts would be fully understood.

The plan proposed a package of 26 measures (a total of 34 individual measures) with the overall aims of:

- Increasing grants and concessions available to first home buyers
- Increasing housing supply at reasonable prices
- Accelerating the delivery of infrastructure to support growing communities.

SGS delivered an evaluation framework and a dashboard of indicators to facilitate regular reporting on the Strategy.

SGS prepared the program logic, the results chains for the program initiatives and their short, medium and long term outcomes. On the basis of that a prioritised outcome evaluation framework was established, as well as an economic evaluation framework assessing the seven long term outcomes in terms of economic costs and benefits.

SGS evaluated the Victorian Government Design Review Panel, a three-year pilot program run through the Office of the Victorian Government Architect. From its inception, the VDRP offered expert peer review to significant State Government funded projects by a panel of eminent urban design and architecture professionals. It was established to provide independent and authoritative advice to government and statutory decision makers across Victoria about the design of significant development proposals.

SGS reviewed the activity of the VDRP program and tested the extent to which the program met its stated objectives, was effective and efficient in its operations, and delivered value for money. Data was collected through participant surveys, case studies and interviews with key program stakeholders.

The evaluation revealed that the program was effective in achieving its objectives and anticipated outcomes, and had been implemented efficiently. It was found to be a unique program in the Victorian context, with a demonstrated ongoing need for the skills of the body in championing design quality in the built environment, in the interests of all Victorians.
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Andrew McDougall, Principal & Partner

Andrew delivers rigorous analysis and insights to help government and business leaders make informed public policy decisions and improve community welfare.

Andrew leads our consultancy-based projects including: investment prioritisation and planning; financial and economic appraisals; business case development; evaluation of government programs; and regional economic analysis, strategy development and action planning. His focus in all these contexts is on how new projects or investment can improve client objectives and community welfare.

He works with infrastructure stakeholders to optimise urban renewal, housing, transport, education, health, cultural and recreational infrastructure through appropriate planning, analysis and funding.

Andrew has worked for clients in all tiers and jurisdictions of government, and the private and not for profit sectors; not only in Australia but in New Zealand, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, Oman and Malaysia.

E: amcdougall@sgsep.com.au

Bill Dunbar, Principal & Partner

Bill is an infrastructure expert with over 20 years of executive experience in the public and private sectors, both in Australia and globally. His career spans government policy, infrastructure acquisition and development, project due diligence, business cases and investment banking.

He was responsible for infrastructure policy for the NSW Government as Executive Director in the NSW Premier’s Department form 2000-2004. He led implementation of the first NSW Public Private Partnership program, the first State Infrastructure Strategic Plan and coordinated unsolicited private sector proposals.

At SGS, his client base is focused on developing business cases in arts and culture, innovation, education and research, agriculture, transport and economic development. Major projects include the NSW Cultural Infrastructure Investment Framework, a prioritization framework for investment in major NSW regional centres and key advisory work on the NSW Cultural Infrastructure Strategy (2016). An example of his business case portfolio is the successful Centre for Innovation in Plant Sciences, which received $60 million through the Western Sydney City Deal.

E: bdunbar@sgsep.com.au
Yuan specialises in cost benefit analyses, infrastructure network planning, social infrastructure needs assessment, development feasibility studies and land use planning and forecasting for government and private clients.

Yuan is an Actuary with extensive experience in economic appraisals and statistical modelling. During his 10 years at SGS, Yuan has developed expertise in the areas of cost benefit analysis, infrastructure demand modelling, land economics, financial appraisals, land use planning and forecasting.

Yuan has led a wide range of financial and economic appraisals (CBAs) on behalf of various government agencies to support their capital business cases seeking for treasury funding. These assignments span over a diverse array of projects, including transport, education, community and cultural infrastructure, as well as government-led IT investment. He is also highly experienced in effectively communicating complex modelling issues and results to a broad range of stakeholders in central government agencies and get their ‘buy-in’ on the modelling approaches and appraisal methods.

E: ydeng@sgsep.com.au

Ellen is a public policy and economics specialist with a keen interest in solving social planning issues.

Ellen has over twenty years of experience in business case development and social, economic, environmental and financial analysis. She has specialist expertise in housing policy and climate change mitigation and adaptation. She is the leading force behind the national Rental Affordability Index which serves as a means to inform the public debate around housing affordability in Australia.

She led various evaluation and assessment programs in collaboration with universities, driving ground-breaking insights into for instance the transition into a low carbon economy, housing solutions as economic infrastructure and adaptation pathways to address developing risks in the Lower Hunter floodplains. She believes in the power of engagement and collaboration and uses her communication, facilitation and consultation skills to deliver solutions that are developed with and owned by clients, stakeholders and communities. She is a regular media commentator and speaker at conferences and events, which support her passion for engaging with stakeholders to make lasting impacts in the public interest.

E: ewitte@sgsep.com.au
Marcus’ core passion is social justice which he describes as ‘ensuring that everyone has access to opportunity, is valued and participates in decisions that affect them’.

A founding partner at SGS, Marcus is formally qualified in commerce and urban planning. He has practised as a consultant for much of his career, though he has also worked as an academic, local government town planner, Ministerial Adviser and senior bureaucrat in State and Commonwealth Government agencies. He is an expert in regional economic development, housing policy, infrastructure funding and metropolitan governance. This includes writing and co-editing two books on urban management.

Marcus is a recognised leader within the urban policy community. He is a past National President of the Planning Institute of Australia and a former Board member at VicUrban (now called Development Victoria). He has served on the Commonwealth Government’s Housing Supply Council and the equivalent body set up by the NSW Government. Marcus has been appointed an Adjunct Professor in the School of Global, Urban and Social Studies at RMIT University and an Adjunct Professor in the Faculty of Built Environment at UNSW. He is also an Associate Professor at the University of Melbourne.

Marcia has a genuine love for the science of economics, finding it fascinating quantifying social, economic and environmental issues and getting impactful projects off the ground.

Marcia is an experienced economist with over fifteen years’ experience across the private, public and academic sectors. During this time Marcia has mastered a wide range of economic methods in modelling, projections, data analysis and policy analysis, and has managed a wide range projects. Her particular areas of expertise are project evaluation, including cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis; financial analysis; regulation impact assessment; regional economic impact assessment; and microsimulation modelling.

She often helps our clients describe and quantify the benefits of their planned projects— not just the financial returns— but also the economic, social and environmental impacts on the wider community. Her project work spans education and other social infrastructure, transport projects, climate change mitigation investments, regulation impact assessments and investments in social programs.
A certified B Corp, we want all people in Australia to benefit from prosperous and sustainable places and communities. With high standards of accountability and transparency, we play a critical role as independent and trusted advisors to the governments and organisations that shape Australia’s cities and regions. Our robust, evidence-based insights inform policy and strategy decisions and help solve pressing social, economic and environmental issues.
How we add value

1. Assurance that we resource every project with the right people.

We take great care to form specialist, fully resourced project teams led by at least one director. Our client feedback shows that our system works.

2. Guarantee that we are transparent, committed and accountable.

Our B Corp certification proves that we meet world-standard environmental and social performance and transparency and accountability measures.

3. Confidence that we understand all tiers of government.

We have 30 years’ experience working with all tiers of government, and many of our team members held senior government roles before joining SGS.

4. Access to rigorous analysis and trusted independent advice.

We help our clients find rigorous and practical solutions and make informed decisions. Our project track record shows that great things can happen with the right insight.

5. Opportunity to develop specialist skills and capability.

We work to make a positive impact on the world and believe that sharing expertise and experience with our clients and the wider community is another way to achieve this.

6. Meaningful and useful data.

Our clients no longer need to worry about making sense of complex data – we use digital tools and specialist know-how to make complex data meaningful and useful.

7. Access to original research & insights.

We challenge conventional thinking and invest in innovation and self-funded research. We openly share our research findings and insights with our clients and often conduct training workshops and seminars.

Who we are

Established in 1990, SGS is a public policy advisory business informing important policy and investment decisions for more sustainable cities and regions. We have 65 team members with diverse expertise and experience working on projects throughout Australia and New Zealand from our Sydney, Melbourne, Canberra and Hobart offices.

Why we work

We work for the public interest and aspire to provide Australia’s best independent policy advice. Our commitment to social good is woven into the fabric of our culture. A certified Benefit Corporation (B Corp), we are part of a global movement of people working for a more equitable, prosperous and sustainable society.

How we work

Our B Corp certification and employee-owned business structure support our purpose. Unlike many consultancies, we invest our time, our resources, and our very best thinking to solving pressing issues for our clients rather than focus solely on shareholder returns. This approach gives our clients assurance and security, especially on challenging projects.
How we help

Our evidence-based insights help clients understand how places, communities and economies function, assess what projects and programs work, and plan future places and precincts. Our work is rigorous and practical – with a proven and clear path between our analysis and advice. Beyond advisory services, we hold workshops and courses to share knowledge collaboratively. The figure below outlines our services at a high level.

Advisory services in more detail

**UNDERSTAND**
Places, Communities & Economies
Get informed with critical insights from data, spatial analytics and research

**ASSESS**
What works
Assess, monitor and evaluate projects and programs

**SHAPE**
The Future
Prepare plans, policies and prioritise investments

**LEARN**
Collaboratively
Build specialist skills and knowledge together

- Research economic and social issues, including employment, social harm and economic analysis
- Forecast growth and trends, including land use, population, employment, housing and demographic forecasts
- Identify stakeholder needs, including land use audits and stakeholder engagement
- Visualise data meaningfully using interactive maps and dashboards, website visualisations and interactive indices.

- Prioritise projects and programs, including strategic needs assessments, investment plans, strategic business cases and place-base assessments
- Evaluate projects and programs, including financial and economic appraisals, socio economic impact assessments, cash flow models, program evaluations and detailed business cases.

- Plan cities and regions, including housing strategies, metropolitan plans, open space and recreation plans and transport model impact assessments
- Plan future places and precincts, including town centre studies, employment, economic and housing studies, and industrial and retail studies
- Shape good public policy, including governance models, policy options analysis, policy options development, and policy workshops
- Analyse infrastructure funding options, such as local infrastructure plans and value capture appraisals.
Client commitment

A working partnership requires commitment, collaboration and open communication which is why we implemented a formal client service guide supported by a client feedback and listening program.

The SGS client service guide and client listening program empowers our team to gather, track and action feedback and improve how we communicate and deliver our products and services.

The image to right shows client feedback on projects in 2017, 2018 and 2019. It's an honest look at how we do business.